# **Supporting Information**

Engineering Modular Protein Interaction Switches by Sequence Overlap

Nathan A. Sallee, Brian J. Yeh and Wendell A. Lim

$\bf{1}$ were $\bf{01}$ . Domain Domain	Ligand I and Osca to Condition Overlap D whenes Ligand(s)	$K_{\rm d}$	<b>PDB</b>	Reference
			Code	(Affinity, PDB)
Human C-Raf1 Ras-	Human H-Ras(GTP)	18 nM	1C1Y	S1, S2
binding domain (RBD)				
Human WASP p21-	Human Cdc42(GTP)	164 nM	1CEE	measured, S3
binding domain (PBD)				
Human WASP	Human WASP cofilin	$2 \mu M$	1EJ5	S4, S4
inhibitory segment (IS)	helix			
Human FK506-Binding	FK506 small molecule	$0.4$ nM	1FKJ	S5, S6
Protein-12 (FKBP)				
E. coli dihydrofolate	Methotrexate small	$0.6$ nM	1RX3	S7, S8
reductase (DHFR)	molecule			
Human 14-3-3ξ domain	Designed PKA-	low nM	1QJA	S9, S10
	phosphorylatable peptide:			
	RRYHpSLPFI <sup>a</sup>			
	Voltage-gated sodium			
Mouse $\alpha$ -Syntrophin	channel peptide: VKESLV	$7 \mu M$	2PDZ	measured, S11
PDZ domain	or			
	Rat nNOS PDZ domain	$1 \mu M$	1QAV	S12, S13
Human NHERF	Human $\beta$ 2 adrenergic	18 nM	1GQ4	S14, S15
(EBP50) PDZ domain #1	receptor peptide:			
	<b>RNCSTNDSLL</b>			
Human phosphatase	Human Fas receptor	$\sim$ 30 µM	3PDZ	S16, S17
hPTP1E PDZ domain #2	peptide: NFRNETQSLV			
Mouse C-Crk SH3	Mutant C3G peptide:	$20 \text{ nM}$	1CKA	S18, S19
domain #1	YPPPALPPKRRR			
Mouse Grb2 SH3	Mouse SOS-1 peptide:	$5 \mu M$	1GBQ	S18, S20
domain #1	<b>PPPVPPRRR</b>			
Human Hck SH3 domain	Mouse SOS-1 peptide: <b>PPPVPPRRR</b>	$5.6 \mu M$	4HCK	S18, S21
S. cerevisiae Abp1 SH3	S. cerevisae Ark1 peptide:	$20 \text{ nM}$	1JO8	S22, S23
domain	<b>KKTKPTPPPKPSHLK</b>			
S. cerevisiae Sho1 SH3	S. cerevisae Pbs2 peptide:	$1.3 \mu M$	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	<b>S22, NA</b>
domain	NKPLPPLPVAGSSKV			

*Table S1.* Domain – Ligand Pairs Used to Construct Overlap Switches

<sup>*a* "pS"</sup> denotes phosphoserine</sup>



## *Table S2.* Details of 25 Engineered Switches

### *Table S2.* (continued)



#### **Peptide-Peptide Overlaps:**



*<sup>a</sup>* In the overlap sequence column, the top sequence is the N-terminal module, the middle is the Cterminal module, and the bottom is the sequence used in the overlapped, chimeric protein.

#### **Timescale of Switching**

In order to address whether the binding competition of our switches is occurring on a physiologically-relevant timescale, we used mathematical modeling and experimental approaches. Because we have measured the  $K_d$  values of switch 1 for its two ligands (Figure S1), we can model the kinetics of switching between its bound states, making a small number of assumptions. We found that the affinities of the Syntrophin PDZ domain and WASP PBD that constitute switch **1** are roughly the same in the switch as the affinities of the individual domains. The  $K_d$ 's of Syn PDZ and switch 1 for VKESLV peptide are identical at 7  $\mu$ M. Therefore, it is fair to assume that the affinity of switch **1** for nNOS PDZ (which binds to the same site as the peptide ligand) is 1 µM (the same as the Syn PDZ-nNOS PDZ affinity). Kinetic studies of PDZligand interactions have shown that they have similar on rates  $(k_{on})$  that fall in the range of 4-10  $\mu$ M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, but that their off rates ( $k_{off}$ ) vary more widely, leading to differences in affinity.<sup>S24</sup> Assuming that the on rate of the Switch **1**-nNOS interaction falls in the middle of this range (7  $\mu$ M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), we get an off rate of 7 s<sup>-1</sup> ( $K_d$  $k_{on}$ ). Similarly, kinetic analyses of the interactions of multiple fragments of WASP with Cdc42(GTP) have shown that the  $k_{on}$  values are very similar for the different fragments (0.19  $\mu$ M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and that differences in affinity are due to changes in  $k_{\text{off}}$ .<sup>S25</sup> Because our measured  $K_d$  of 247 nM for the switch **1**-Cdc42(GTP) interaction is very similar to the affinity of the wild-type WASP PBD, we assume that the value of  $k_{on}$  is similarly  $0.19 \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$ , giving us a  $k_{off}$  value of  $0.047 s^{-1}$ .



*Figure S1.* Switch **1** fluorescence perturbation affinity measurements. (A) Binding of switch **1** to Cdc42 G12V loaded with the fluorescent nucleotide analog Mant-GMPPNP gave a  $K_d$  of 247 nM. (B) Binding of switch 1 to dansylated VKESLV peptide gave a  $K_d$  of 7  $\mu$ M.

Conversion between the bound states of switch **1** can be modeled as:

nNOS + Switch 1 
$$
\sum_{k_{on} (NOS)}^{k_{on} (NOS)}
$$
 nNOS-Switch 1  
Cdc42 + Switch 1  $\sum_{k_{on} (Cdc)}^{k_{on} (Cdc)}$  Cdc42-Switch 1

Using these equations, we made a simple deterministic model<sup>S26</sup> of switch binding equilibria using MATLAB (MathWorks). Using the estimated values of the kinetic parameters above, we calculated the timescale in which switch **1** goes from the nNOS-bound state to the Cdc42-bound state and vice versa. In our pulldown experiments in the body of the paper, we saw switch binding to 1  $\mu$ M GST-nNOS was almost totally disrupted by 80  $\mu$ M Cdc42 G12V. We therefore simulated this experiment by starting with  $1 \mu M$  nNOS-bound Switch 1 and adding 100  $\mu$ M Cdc42(GTP) at time zero. Under these conditions, we found that the switch is 99% in the Cdc42 bound state in 1.15 seconds (Figure S2A). The same experiment in the reverse direction (from Cdc42-bound to 90% nNOS-bound), starting with  $1 \mu M$  Cdc42-bound Switch 1 and 100  $\mu$ M nNOS, was estimated to take 58 seconds (Figure S2B). This fits with our experimental data that show the Cdc42-switch **1** interaction can be disrupted by nNOS, but not as efficiently as the reverse competition (not shown).



*Figure S2*. Simulation of competition between switch 1 bound states over time: unbound (green), nNOS-bound (red) and Cdc42-bound (blue). (A) Starting with 1 µM switch 100% bound to nNOS, addition of 100  $\mu$ M Cdc42 drives switch 1 to 99% Cdc42-bound in just over 1 second. (B) Starting with 1 µM switch 100% bound to Cdc42, addition of 100 µM nNOS drives switch **1** to 90% nNOS-bound in 58 seconds.

To test this modeling against experimental data, we modified our GST pulldown assay to test switch competition over a brief time course (Figure S3). In the first step, the switch was bound to GST-tagged bait ligand and washed as described in the experimental section. Then, solutions of 100 µM of the competing ligand (Cdc42 G12V or 14-3-3ζ) were prepared and sufficient resin was added to bring the concentration of bait protein (with bound switch) to 1  $\mu$ M. These were incubated for periods of 15, 30, 60 and 120 seconds with regular vortexing before the resin was quickly spun down and washed with PBS and 0.1% Triton X-100, then with PBS alone. As a control, the same incubations were carried out with buffer in place of the competing ligand. For switches **1**-**3**, the switch-bait interaction was mostly disrupted before the 120 second time point (Figure S3). Switch **1** in particular was almost completely unbound from nNOS within 15 seconds of Cdc42 addition (Figure S3A), in agreement with the above simulations. In each case the interaction was unaffected by incubation with buffer. As an additional control, we show that non-phosphorylated switch **3** bound to Crk SH3 was unperturbed by addition of 14-3-3ζ (Figure S3C).



*Figure S3.* Competition pulldown time courses. (A-C) Switches **1**-**3** were first bound to GSTtagged bait protein (left side). Resin with bound switch was then added to solution containing 100 µM of competing ligand and incubated for the times shown. As a control, resin with bound switch was added to a solution of buffer alone. In panel C, switch **3** was tagged with maltose-binding protein (MBP) and 14-3-3ζ was shown to only compete with Crk SH3 binding when switch **3** was phosphorylated.

#### **Supplemental references:**

- (S1) Herrmann, C.; Martin, G. A.; Wittinghofer, A. *J Biol Chem* **1995**, 270, 2901-2905.
- (S2) Nassar, N.; Horn, G.; Herrmann, C.; Scherer, A.; McCormick, F.; Wittinghofer, A. *Nature* **1995**, 375, 554-563.
- (S3) Abdul-Manan, N.; Aghazadeh, B.; Liu, G. A.; Majumdar, A.; Ouerfelli, O.; Siminovitch, K. A.; Rosen, M. K. *Nature* **1999**, 399, 379-383.
- (S4) Kim, A. S.; Kakalis, L. T.; Abdul-Manan, N.; Liu, G. A.; Rosen, M. K. *Nature* **2000**, 404, 151-158.
- (S5) Bierer, B. E.; Mattila, P. S.; Standaert, R. F.; Herzenberg, L. A.; Burakoff, S. J.; Crabtree, G.; Schreiber, S. L. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **1990**, 87, 9231-9235.
- (S6) Van Duyne, G. D.; Standaert, R. F.; Karplus, P. A.; Schreiber, S. L.; Clardy, J. *J Mol Biol* **1993**, 229, 105-124.
- (S7) Appleman, J. R.; Howell, E. E.; Kraut, J.; Kuhl, M.; Blakley, R. L. *J Biol Chem* **1988**, 263, 9187-9198.
- (S8) Sawaya, M. R.; Kraut, J. *Biochemistry* **1997**, 36, 586-603.
- (S9) Yaffe M. B.; Rittinger K.; Volinia S.; Caron P. R.; Aitken A.; Leffers H.; Gamblin S. J.; Smerdon S. J.; Cantley L. C. *Cell* **1997**, 91, 961-971.
- (S10) Rittinger, K.; Budman, J.; Xu, J.; Volinia, S.; Cantley, L. C.; Smerdon, S. J.; Gamblin, S. J.; Yaffe, M. B. *Mol Cell* **1999**, 4, 153-166.
- (S11) Schultz J.; Hoffmuller U.; Krause G.; Ashurst J.; Macias M. J.; Schmieder P.; Schneider-Mergener J.; Oschkinat H. *Nat Struct Biol* **1998**, 5, 19-24.
- (S12) Harris, B. Z.; Hillier, B. J.; Lim, W. A. *Biochemistry* **2001**, 40**,** 5921-5930.
- (S13) Hillier, B. J.; Christopherson, K. S.; Prehoda, K. E.; Bredt, D. S.; Lim, W. A. *Science* **1999**, 284, 812-815.
- (S14) Hall, R. A.; Ostedgaard, L. S.; Premont, R. T.; Blitzer, J. T.; Rahman, N.; Welsh, M. J.; Lefkowitz, R. J. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **1998**, 95, 8496-8501.
- (S15) Karthikeyan, S.; Leung, T.; Ladias, J. A. A. *J Biol Chem* **2002**, 277, 18973-18978.
- (S16) Ekiel, I.; Banville, D.; Shen, S. H.; Gehring, K. *Biochem Cell Biol* **1998**, 76, 334-340.
- (S17) Koslov, G.; Gehring, K.; Ekiel, I. *Biochemistry* **2000**, 39, 2572-2580.
- (S18) Nguyen J. T.; Porter M.; Amoui M.; Miller W. T.; Zuckermann R. N.; Lim W. A. *Chem Biol* **2000**, 7, 463-473.
- (S19) Wu, X.; Knudsen, B.; Feller, S. M.; Zheng, J.; Sali, A.; Cowburn, D.; Hanafusa, H.; Kuriyan, J. *Structure* **1995**, 3, 215-226.
- (S20) Wittekind, M.; Mapelli, C.; Lee, V.; Goldfarb, V.; Friedrichs, M. S.; Meyers, C. A.; Mueller, L. *J Mol Biol* **1997**, 267, 933-952.
- (S21) Horita, J. A.; Baldisseri, D. M.; Zhang, W.; Altieri, A. S.; Smithgall, T. E.; Gmeiner, W. H.; Byrd, R. A. *J Mol Biol* **1998**, 278, 253-265.
- (S22) Zarrinpar, A.; Park, S. H.; Lim, W. A. *Nature* **2003**, 426, 676-680.
- (S23) Fazi, B., Cope, M. J. T. V.; Douangamath, A.; Ferracuti, S.; Schirwitz, K.; Zucconi, A.; Drubin, D. G.; Wilmanns, M.; Cesareni, G.; Castagnoli, L. *J Biol Chem* **2002**, 277, 5290- 5298.
- (S24) Gianni, S.; Engstrom, A.; Larsson, M.; Calosci, N.; Malatesta, F.; Eklund, L.; Ngang, C. C.; Travaglini-Allocatelli, C.; Jemth, P. *J Biol Chem* **2005**, 280, 34805-34812.
- (S25) Rudolph, M. G.; Bayer, P.; Abo, A.; Kuhlmann, J.; Vetter, I. R.; Wittighofer, A. *J Biol Chem* **1998**, 273, 18067-18076.
- (S26) Ullah, M.; Schmidt, H.; Cho, K.-H.; Wolkenhauer, O. *IEE Proc-Syst Biol* **2006**, 153, 53- 60.

## **Complete ref 17b:**

Posern, G.; Zheng, J.; Knudsen, B. S.; Kardinal, C.; Müller, K. B.; Voss, J.; Shishido, T.; Cowburn, D.; Cheng, G.; Wang, B.; Kruh, G. D.; Burrell, S. K.; Jacobson, C. A.; Lenz, D. M.; Zamborelli, T. J.; Adermann, K.; Hanafusa, H.; Feller, S. M. *Oncogene* **1998**, *16*, 1903-1912.